

## Call for Abstracts

### FFVT Scholarly Workshop “Forced Migration and Dynamics of Political Mobilisation: conceptual approaches, comparative and case studies”

7 December 2021; 10:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m. CET

This Workshop invites scholars to look into forced migration from the angle of political mobilisation. Originating from social movement research, mobilisation denotes sustained collective initiatives to bring about or prevent social or political change, operating outside – yet in close interaction with – formal political institutions, whose orientation and leadership they may directly challenge (Khoshnevis & Benford 2017). With this concept in mind, the Workshop attempts to leave the constraints of policy relevance in refugee studies behind, which have *“encouraged researchers to take the categories, concepts and priorities of policy makers and practitioners as their initial frame of reference for identifying their areas of study and formulating research questions”* (Bakewell 2008, p. 432; cf. also Stierl 2020).

Political mobilisation focuses on strategic action by individuals or groups towards extending their support base for aims that are directed towards social change (Mayer 1991). The mobilising actors often are ‘authentic’ groups – those affected by discrimination or injustice (Roose 2013; Shadmehr 2014). The angle of mobilisation research hence allows for studies on the agency of individuals and groups under existential pressure, the exploration of the range and limitations of social and/or political engagement, and the change ensuing movements bring about. It also allows for examining cases where actors make efforts to mobilise groups they consider as being affected from injustice to include them in a social movement (Roose 2013).

We invite conceptual contributions as well as case studies to address the question:

**Which factors cause political mobilisation in the context of forced migration, and which dynamics and outcomes can be identified?**

#### **Conceptual background:**

In the context of forced migration, the mobilisation of exile or ‘diaspora’ groups towards or against social or political change in their countries of origin or residence has already yielded some insights (Milner 2011). Exiled groups may mobilise others - from the same place of origin as well as groups within their host countries - to engage for socio-economic development, post-conflict reconstruction (Lapshyna 2020) and/or political change, for conflict resolution, or for armed struggle in their countries of origin (Koinova 2017). The mobilisation of exiled groups may lead to their formal inclusion in peace negotiations whereas others are excluded (Zunzer 2004; Pfaffenholz 2015; Meininghaus & Mielke 2019). It can also enhance tensions in the country of origin when individuals returning from exile are appointed to leading positions in transitional governments (Grawert 2007). Mobilisation can also start from groups in the country of origin and involve those living in exile. In these contexts, research on political mobilisation

would look into transnational networks as they create the potential to connect groups scattered over different places (Østergaard-Nielsen 2006). Protests at closed borders as seen in Turkey, on the Balkans and in Central America indicate another pattern where refugees and forced migrants temporarily mobilize to enforce desired onward movement.

Another type of political mobilisation in the context of forced migration pertains to movements forming in response to arrivals of refugees or migrants, such as the “radical right” (Caiani & della Porta 2018), which has been mobilising significant numbers of European citizens against refugees arriving in Europe during the past years. Increased competition for economic resources, employment, and social services caused by the arrival of large numbers of refugees often causes hostility among local groups not only in Europe but also in regions of the Global South where societies receive high numbers of refugees. Public discourses, in particular incitement by politicians, can enhance xenophobia and lead to the mobilisation of large groups against the ‘Others’. A third variation tends to occur in divided societies where the arrival of large numbers of refugees can trigger political mobilisation within the receiving society and cause or enhance communal conflict that may turn violent but does not necessarily involve refugees directly (Böhmelt et al. 2019).

In contexts of state repression or armed conflicts within a society, some individuals or groups may engage in changing the social or political conditions and mobilise larger groups for their cause. Others may make the decision to leave the country, whereas further groups may not have the option to flee and therefore endure adverse conditions, including displacement by government forces or non-state armed groups. The research angle of political mobilisation helps to understand the agency, conditions and temporary options that encourage, limit or deny political engagement. The concept of “exit, voice and loyalty” (Hirschman 1970; Burgess 2012) may be a useful analytical perspective, among others.

Approaches to study mobilisation have taken into account resource mobilisation and framing, political opportunity structure, collective action as well as agency and legitimacy (Tarrow & Tilly 2015, Baumgarten & Ullrich 2012). Social movements resulting from political mobilisation are characterised by high symbolic integration and low specification of roles while using variable forms of organisation and action to pursue the aim to induce, prevent or revert fundamental social change. Networking and coordination are crucial for mobilisation to gain visibility and impact of a social movement (Raschke 1988; Roth & Rucht 2008).

**Workshop contributions may answer some of the following more specific questions:**

- 1. Why and how has political mobilisation occurred in societies of origin of large numbers of refugees, and what have been outcomes of mobilisation?**

Abstracts may address situations where people managed to flee outside the state’s borders whereas others were displaced internally and/or engaged politically or in militant groups. Agency and decision-making dynamics, changes over time, political engagement in the original society followed by political mobilisation from exile, violent and non-violent movements are some of the aspects that can be covered here. Resulting changes in

societies and / or politics should be addressed, too.

- 2. Which factors have led to political mobilisation in societies receiving large numbers of refugees? Who are the actors? Which political processes, dynamics and outcomes can be identified, and (how) are refugees involved?**

Here the focus can be on the political mobilisation of exiled groups or among refugees in or outside camps, of anti-refugee movements and/or movements in support of refugees, as well as mobilisation for communal conflict or civilian and armed movements in societies receiving refugees. (Im)mobilisation among second or third-generation migrants whose ancestors had been refugees may also be covered here. Related abstracts should moreover identify changes that mobilisation has brought about.

### **3. How and where has international political mobilisation been related to forced migration? Which actors, dynamics and outcomes have occurred?**

Here research on international social movements referring to refugee protection or against the acceptance of refugees can be presented; approaches could be on opportunity structures, narratives or framing of issues related to forced migration that have led to political mobilisation. The focus should again be on the actors, dynamics, political processes and outcomes of the mobilisation.

Further aspects related to mobilisation in the context of forced migration can be addressed, too, conceptually or based on case studies or comparative analyses.

#### **Submission details:**

We kindly invite you to submit an abstract of about 500 words (with contact data and short bio) until **13 September 2021** to the following email address: [event@ffvt.net](mailto:event@ffvt.net).

We will inform you by 20 September 2021 about acceptance of your abstract. You are then asked to prepare a presentation of about 20 minutes for one of the Workshop panels. It is subject to discussion at the end of the workshop how to proceed with the results. Options could be preparing a special issue or research proposal based on the workshop inputs.

#### **Background of the Workshop:**

This Workshop is part of a FFVT Scholarly Workshop series that had started with an Expert Workshop exploring the conceptual intersections between Peace & Conflict Research and Forced Migration & Refugee Studies in April 2020. The workshop series is part of the project "Forced Migration and Refugee Studies: Networking and Knowledge Transfer" (Flucht- und Flüchtlingsforschung: Vernetzung und Transfer, FFVT), which is funded by the Federal Ministry for Education and Research, Germany.

The aim of the joint project of the four partners, the Bonn peace and conflict research institute BICC, the Centre for Human Rights Erlangen-Nürnberg (CHREN), the German Development Institute / Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE) and Institute for Migration Research and Intercultural Studies (IMIS) at the University of Osnabrück is to strengthen forced migration and refugee research through national and international networking.

You can find more information about the project at <https://ffvt.net/en>.

#### **References:**

- Bakewell, Oliver. 2008. Research beyond the categories: the importance of policy irrelevant research into forced migration. *Journal of Refugee Studies* 21(4), pp. 432-453.
- Baumgarten, Britta & Peter Ullrich. 2012. Discourse, power and governmentality. Social movement research with and beyond Foucault. WZB Discussion Paper SP IV 2012-401. Berlin: Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung. [https://depositonce.tu-berlin.de/bitstream/11303/4865/1/baumgarten\\_et-al.pdf](https://depositonce.tu-berlin.de/bitstream/11303/4865/1/baumgarten_et-al.pdf).
- Böhmelt, Tobias; Vincenzo Bove & Kristian Skrede Gleditsch. 2019. Blame the victims? Refugees, state capacity, and non-state actor violence. *Journal of Peace Research* 56(1), pp. 73-87.
- Burgess, Katrina. 2012. Migrants, remittances, and politics: loyalty and voice after exit. *The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs* 36(1), pp. 43-55.

- Caiani, Manuela & Donatella della Porta. 2018. The radical right as social movement organizations. In Rydgren, Jens (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of the Radical Right*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 327-347.
- Grawert, Elke. 2007. The aid business in South Sudan after the Comprehensive Peace Agreement. In Bass, Hans-Heinrich / Knedlik, Tobias / Meyn, Mareike / Wiegand-Kottisch, Maren (eds). *Economic Systems in a Changing World Economy*. Berlin / London / New Brunswick: Lit Verlag, pp. 387-402.
- Hirschman, Albert O. 1970. Exit, voice and loyalty. Responses to decline in firms, organizations, and states. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Khoshnevis, Hadi & Robert D. Benford. 2017. Social movements. In Outhwaite, William & Stephen P. Turner (eds). *The SAGE Handbook of Political Sociology*. Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp. 828-844.
- Koinova, Maria. 2017. Diaspora Mobilisation for Conflict and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Contextual and Comparative Dimensions. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 44(8), pp. 1251-1269.
- Lapshyna, Iryna. 2019. Do diasporas matter? The growing role of the Ukrainian diaspora in the UK and Poland in the development of the homeland in times of war. *Central and Eastern European Migration Review* 8(1), pp. 51-73.
- Mayer, Margit. 1991. Social movement research in the United States: a European perspective. *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society* 4(4), pp. 459-80.
- Meininghaus, Esther & Katja Mielke. 2019. Beyond Doha and Geneva. Peacemaking engagement of Afghans and Syrians in North Rhine- Westphalia and Germany. BICC Working Paper Series 11. Bonn: BICC. [https://www.bicc.de/uploads/tx\\_bicctools/BICC\\_Working\\_Paper\\_11\\_2019.pdf](https://www.bicc.de/uploads/tx_bicctools/BICC_Working_Paper_11_2019.pdf).
- Milner, James. 2011. Refugees, the state and the politics of asylum in Africa. Basingstoke: Palgrave. MacMillan.
- Østergaard-Nielsen, Eva. 2006. The politics of migrants' transnational political practices. *International Migration Review* 37(3), pp. 760-786.
- Paffenholz, Thania. 2015. Unpacking the local turn in peacebuilding: a critical assessment towards an agenda for future research. *Third World Quarterly* 36(5), pp. 857-874.
- Raschke, Joachim. 1988. *Soziale Bewegungen. Ein historisch-systematischer Grundriß*. Frankfurt am Main / New York: Campus.
- Roose, Jochen. 2020. Soziale Bewegungen als Basismobilisierung. Zum Verhältnis von Basis und Führungspersonal in den Ansätzen der Bewegungsforschung. In Rudolf Speth (ed.). *Grassroots Campaigning*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, pp. 141-157.
- Roth, Roland & Dieter Rucht. 2008. Einleitung. In Roth, R. & D. Rucht (eds): *Die Sozialen Bewegungen in Deutschland seit 1945. Ein Handbuch*, Frankfurt am Main / New York: Campus, pp. 9-36.
- Stierl, Maurice. 2020. Do no harm? The impact of policy on migration scholarship. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*. DOI: 10.1177/2399654420965567.
- Tarrow, Sidney & Charles Tilly. 2015 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). *Contentious politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Zunzer, Wolfram. 2004. Diaspora communities and civil conflict transformation. *Berghof Occasional Paper* 26. DOI:10.1.1.126.9346.

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry  
of Education  
and Research

## Project Partners

**bicc** Bonn  
International Center  
for Conversion

Centre for Human Rights  
Erlangen-Nürnberg  
**CHREN**

**d·i·e**  
Deutsches Institut für  
Entwicklungspolitik



German Development  
Institute

Institut für Migrationsforschung  
und Interkulturelle Studien

**IMIS**

Institute for Migration Research  
and Intercultural Studies